THERMAL BEHAVIOUR OF COMPLEX CATION-COMPLEX ANION TYPE COORDINATION COMPOUNDS. Part V *

F. CIMPOEŞU and MARIUS ANDRUH

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Str. Dumbrava Roșie 23, Bucharest (Romania)

E. SEGAL

Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Bulevardul Republicii 13, Bucharest (Romania)

(Received 25 May 1990)

ABSTRACT

The irreversible thermochromism of two coordination compounds of the type $[Ni(AA)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot nH_2O$, where AA = 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) or 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (bathophen), is reported. The colour change is caused by an unusual thermal behaviour of the complex cation-complex anion type coordination compounds, namely the migration of ligands, in the solid state, between the coordination spheres of metal ions. This paper deals also with the thermal stability and non-isothermal decomposition kinetics of the two cited compounds.

INTRODUCTION

Following previous work concerning thermal stability and ligand migration between the two coordination spheres of complex cation-complex anion type coordination compounds [1-4] this paper deals with the compounds $[Ni(phen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$ and $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 6H_2O$ [phen = 1,10-phenanthroline; bathophen = 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline].

EXPERIMENTAL

The syntheses of the two compounds are similar. The Ni(AA)₃²⁺ ions were obtained by adding the stoichiometric amount of organic ligand to a solution of NiCl₂. $6H_2O$ in ethanol. The [PdCl₄]²⁻ complex anion was

^{*} For Part IV in this series see Ref. 1.

prepared in aqueous solution by treating anhydrous $PdCl_2$ with an aqueous solution of HCl. After mixing the solutions containing the two complex ions, the compounds $[Ni(AA)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot nH_2O$ were precipitated: $[Ni(phen)_3]$ - $[PdCl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$ as a pink-brown amorphous powder and $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 6H_2O$ as a pink crystalline powder. The compounds were subsequently vacuum filtered, washed with ethanol and dried in vacuum over P_4O_{10} . An alternative method of synthesis for crystalline $[Ni(phen)_3]$ - $[PdCl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$ consists in using an aqueous solution of KCl instead of HCl in order to obtain the $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$ ion.

The thermal curves of the compounds were recorded by means of a Q 1500D Derivatograph (MOM, Budapest) of Paulik–Paulik–Erdey type in a static air atmosphere at various heating rates in the range 1.25-10 K min⁻¹. The crystalline state of the compounds was investigated by using a Philips PW 1140 X-ray diffractometer, using chromium K_{α} radiation. In order to record the diffuse reflectance electronic spectra, a Carl Zeiss VSU-2 spectro-photometer was used with MgO as a standard.

In order to obtain the values of the non-isothermal kinetic parameters in the framework of the model of the "reaction order", four methods, those according to Coats and Redfern [5], Flynn and Wall, for constant heating rate [6], Doyle and Gorbachev [7] and modified Coats-Redfern [8] were applied. The experimental data were processed by a program written in BASIC language [9] and run on a TIM-S computer. The same program allowed generation of the TG curve using the experimental values of the kinetic parameters on the coordinates (α , t° C) and fitting it to the experimental points.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the X-ray diffractograms, by using Scherrer's formula [10], the following values of the mean crystallite size, l, have been obtained: for the crystalline variant of [Ni(phen)₃][PdCl₄] \cdot 5.5H₂O, l = 175 Å; for [Ni(bathophen)₃][PdCl₄] \cdot 6H₂O, l = 290 Å.

Decomposition of $[Ni(phen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$

According to the derivatographic data, the first step of decomposition consists of dehydration:

$$[\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{phen})_3][\operatorname{PdCl}_4] \cdot 5.5\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}(s) \xrightarrow{65\,^\circ\,\operatorname{C}^a} [\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{phen})_3][\operatorname{PdCl}_4](s) + 5.5\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}(g)$$
(1)

followed by a first migration of the ligands between the two coordination spheres (migration I):

$$[\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{phen})_{3}][\operatorname{PdCl}_{4}](s) \xrightarrow{220^{\circ}C} [\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{phen})_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{2}](s) + [\operatorname{Pd}(\operatorname{phen})\operatorname{Cl}_{2}]$$
(2a)
pink-brown green



Fig. 1. Diffuse reflectance spectra of (a) $[Ni(phen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$ (------); (b) $[Ni(phen)_2Cl_2] + Pd(phen)Cl_2]$ (-----); (c) $[Ni(phen)Cl_2] + [Pd(phen)_2]Cl_2$ (-----).

which occurs without change of weight. Migration I is accompanied by an exothermic peak, which is clearly shown on the DTA curve. The nature of the products obtained in reaction (2a) was confirmed by electronic spectroscopy (Fig. 1). The electronic spectrum of $[Ni(phen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$ emphasizes the characteristic bands of both $[Ni(phen)_3]^{2+}$ [4,11,12] and $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$ ions [13]. On the other hand, the electronic spectrum of the green material exhibits the absorption bands due to the presence of $[Ni(phen)_2Cl_2]$ complex [12]: 16 340 cm⁻¹ (${}^{3}T_{1g}(F) \leftarrow {}^{3}A_{2g}$) and 9520 cm⁻¹ (${}^{3}T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^{3}A_{2g}$). By treating the green mixture $[Ni(phen)_2Cl_2] + [Pd(phen)Cl_2]$ with ethanol, we separated the two compounds: the nickel(II) complex is soluble, whereas the palladium complex is only sparingly soluble in ethanol. From the alcoholic solution, $[Ni(phen)_2Cl_2]$ was crystallized by removing the ethanol. The diffuse reflectance spectrum for this nickel complex compound was also recorded; this spectrum is identical with the spectrum reported in ref. 12.

At higher temperatures a second migration (migration II) occurs

$$[\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{phen})_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{2}](s) + [\operatorname{Pd}(\operatorname{phen})\operatorname{Cl}_{2}](s)$$
green
$$\xrightarrow{275^{\circ}\mathrm{C}} [\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{phen})\operatorname{Cl}_{2}](s) + [\operatorname{Pd}(\operatorname{phen})_{2}]\operatorname{Cl}_{2}(s) \qquad (2'a)$$
dirty yellow

The electronic spectrum of this dirty yellow mixture (Fig. 1c) suggests the presence of two yellow compounds: $[Ni(phen)Cl_2]$ and $[Pd(phen)_2]Cl_2$; the shoulders at ≈ 700 nm and ≈ 830 nm as well as the weak band at 1050 nm are characteristic for $[Ni(AA)Cl_2]$ polynuclear coordination compounds (AA = 1,10-phenanthroline or 2,2'-dipyridyl) [14].

^a The temperatures above the arrows correspond to the maximum reaction rate, i.e. to the peak on the DTG or DTA curve.

The mixture obtained in reaction (2'a) then undergoes loss of phenanthroline according to the equation

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ni}(\text{phen})_{3}\text{PdCl}_{4}(s) \xrightarrow{365^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{Ni}(\text{phen})_{2.5}\text{PdCl}_{4}(s) + 0.5 \text{ phen } (g) \\ \text{dirty yellow} & \text{brown} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(3a)} \end{array}$$

followed at higher temperatures by a complex oxidative degradation

$$Ni(phen)_{2.5}PdCl_4(s) \xrightarrow{448^{\circ}C} NiO(s) + PdO(s) + phen(g) + Pd(s)$$

+ gaseous oxidation products (4a)

The overall reaction appears as a single stage at higher heating rates and is relatively poorly resolved or lower ones. The solid products have been identified from the X-ray diffractogram.

Decomposition of $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 6H_2O$

As a first reaction exhibited by the derivatogram of this compound, dehydration occurs according to the equation

The product of reaction (1b) then undergoes migration

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ni}(\text{bathophen})_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \text{PdCl}_4 \end{bmatrix} (g) \xrightarrow{275 \,^{\circ}\text{C}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ni}(\text{bathophen})_2\text{Cl}_2 \end{bmatrix} (s) \\ \text{green} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \text{Pd}(\text{bathophen})\text{Cl}_2 \end{bmatrix} (s) \\ (2b) \end{bmatrix}$$

A secondary migration as in the previous case has not been detected. The electronic spectrum of the light green mixture $[Ni(bathophen)_2Cl_2] + [Pd(bathophen)Cl_2]$, together with that of the parent compound, $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 6H_2O$, are presented in Fig. 2. These spectra are similar to those shown in Fig. 1.

At higher temperature, the product of reaction (2b) undergoes partial decomposition, according to the equation

$$Ni(bathophen)_{3}PdCl_{4} (s) \xrightarrow{428°C} Ni(bathophen)_{2.5}PdCl_{4} (s) + 0.5 bathophen (g)$$
(3b)

followed by a complex oxidative degradation

$$Ni(bathophen)_{2.5}PdCl_4 (s) \xrightarrow{580 \circ C} NiO (s) + PdO (s) + Pd (s) + bathophen (g) + gaseous oxidation products (4b)$$

Reaction (4b) appears on the derivatogram at all the heating rates used as a single stage.



Fig. 2. Diffuse reflectance spectra of (a) $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 6H_2O$ (-----); (b) $[Ni(bathophen)_2Cl_2] + [Pd(bathophen)Cl_2] (-----).$

Significant portions of the diffractograms, corresponding to the mixture of solid products from reactions (4a) and (4b), are given in Fig. 3. As can be seen from Fig. 3b, the same mixture of solid products from (4b) exhibits a mere consolidated lattice of metallic palladium, probably because of the higher temperature at which reaction (4b) occurs.



Fig. 3. Diffraction lines corresponding to the mixture of solid products from reactions (4a) and (4b).

Method	Coats-	Flynn–Wall	Doyle-	Modified
	Redfern	$\beta = ct$	Gorbachev	Coats-Redfern
$(3a) \beta = 5.41 \text{ K m}$	un ⁻¹			
E (kcal mol ⁻¹)	37.32	37.84	37.32	37.64
$A(s^{-1})$	1.42×10^{10}	2.37×10^{10}	1.43×10^{10}	1.97×10^{10}
n	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
r ^a	-0.9916	-0.9920	-0.9916	-0.9920
(3b) $\beta = 5.79$ K m	111 - 1			
E (kcal mol ⁻¹)	48.43	48.58	48.43	49.07
$A(s^{-1})$	9.18×10^{12}	1.07×10^{13}	9.22×10^{12}	1.58×10^{13}
n	1	1	1	1
r	-0.99887	- 0.99899	-0.99887	- 0.99890

TABLE 1

TABLE 2

Non-isothermal kinetic parameters values for reactions (3a) and (3b)

^a Correlation coefficient of the linear regression.

Non-isothermal kinetic analysis

The only kinetically workable reactions are those described by the chemical equations (3a) and (3b) as well as (4a) and (4b). The corresponding values of the non-isothermal kinetic parameters, activation energy, E, preexponential factor, A, and reaction order, n, are given in Tables 1 and 2. The inspection of these results shows quite satisfactory agreement between the non-isothermal kinetic parameter values obtained by various methods. The values obtained for reaction order close to 1 for reaction (3a) and unity for reaction (3b), together with values of the preexponential factors close to those predicted by the transition state theory [15], seem to correspond to a true unimolecular decomposition. As far as the reactions (4) are concerned,

Method	Coats-	Flynn-Wall	Doyle-	Modified
	Redfern	$\beta = ct$	Gorbachev	Coats-Redfern
$(4a) \beta = 10.61 \text{ K r}$	nin ⁻¹			
E (kcal mol ⁻¹)	34.12	34.70	34.13	_
$A(s^{-1})$	7.85×10^{5}	1.55×10^{6}	8×10^{5}	_
n	1.9	1.8	1.9	_
r	-0.9860	-0.9889	-0.9860	-
(4b) $\beta = 10.06 \text{ K r}$	min ⁻¹			
E (kcal mol ⁻¹)	38.73	40.02	38.73	38.52
$A(s^{-1})$	2.57×10^{7}	7.01×10^{7}	2.53×10^{7}	2.42×10^{7}
n	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
r	- 0.9998	- 0.9999	-0.9998	- 0.9999

Non-isothermal kinetic parameter values for reactions (4a) and (4b)



Fig. 4. Regenerated TG curve in coordinates (α , t° C) for reaction 3b at $\beta = 5$ K min⁻¹: (-----) calculated curve; (\circ) experimental points.

values of the reaction order higher than unity suggest the existence of structural units consisting of more than one molecule in various ratios, which undergo decomposition. In Fig. 4 the regenerated TG curve for reaction (3b) using the Coats-Redfern non-isothermal kinetic parameters, is given in coordinates (α , t° C). The experimental points lie on the curve, thus confirming the validity of the applied method.

Using the DTA signal corresponding to migration I of the ligands (2a and 2b) and applying the Coats-Redfern method, we made an attempt to evaluate the values of the non-isothermal kinetic parameters. By this means the following results were obtained

For [Ni(phen) ₃][PdCl ₄]	For $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4]$
$E = 70.60 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$	$E = 155.11 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$
$A = 3.31 \times 10^{29} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$A = 2.26 \times 10^{60} \text{ s}^{-1}$
n = 2	<i>n</i> = 1.6
r = -0.9999	r = 0.9992
$k_{220^{\circ}\mathrm{C}} = 2.56 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$k_{275^{\circ}C} = 9 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Although the method used to evaluate the kinetic parameters for the migration is not very reliable, and the obtained values of the activation energy and preexponential factor are somewhat unusual, the rate constant values for the migration reactions (2a) and (2b) are quite understandable. Thus, this attempt to determine the non-isothermal kinetic parameters for the ligand migration between the two coordination spheres could be considered for the moment as being valid. The value of 2 for the reaction order suggests an elementary reaction between two particles, such as the complex anion and the complex cation. It is interesting to note that the activation

energy of reaction (2b) is greater than that determined for reaction (2a). This difference may be explained by the greater size of bathophenanthroline in comparison with the unsubstituted phenanthroline. Consequently, the migration in the solid state of the first-mentioned ligand must be more difficult than that of the second.

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Experimental data concerning the thermal stability of $[Ni(phen)_3][Pd-Cl_4] \cdot 5.5H_2O$ and $[Ni(bathophen)_3][PdCl_4] \cdot 6H_2O$ have been obtained.

(2) For the kinetically workable decomposition reactions the non-isothermal kinetic parameters have been evaluated.

(3) The non-isothermal kinetic parameters for the migration of the ligands between the two coordination spheres of the metal ions have been evaluated.

REFERENCES

- 1 N. Dragee, M. Andruh and E. Segal, Thermochim. Acta, submitted for publication.
- 2 M. Andruh, Inorg. Chim. Acta, submitted for publication.
- 3 N. Dragee, M. Andruh, A. Meghea and E. Segal, Thermochim. Acta, in press.
- 4 M. Andruh, E. Paraschivoiu, M. Brezeanu and E. Segal, Thermochim. Acta in press.
- 5 A.W. Coats and J.P. Redfern, Nature (London), 201 (1964) 68.
- 6 J.H. Flynn and L.A. Wall, Polym. Lett., 4 (1966) 323.
- 7 C.D. Doyle, Nature (London), 207 (1965) 290, V.M. Gorbachev, J. Therm. Anal., 8 (1975) 349.
- 8 E. Urbanovici and E. Segal, Thermochim. Acta, 81 (1984) 379.
- 9 N. Dragee and E. Segal, Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), in press.
- 10 A. Guinier, Théorie et Technique de la Radiocristallographie, Dunod, Paris, 1964, p. 462.
- 11 R.D. Hancock and G.J. McDougall, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1977) 67.
- 12 C.M. Harris and E.D. McKenzie, J. Inorg. Chem., 29 (1967) 1047.
- 13 W.R.R. Masson, III, and H.B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 5721.
- 14 R.H. Lee, E. Griswold and J. Kleinberg, Inorg. Chem., 3 (1964) 1278.
- 15 E. Segal and D. Fåtu, Introduction to Non-isothermal Kinetics, Publishing House of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, 1983 (in Romanian).